UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/774,921 01/31/2001		Hideaki Shiraishi	14274	5315		
23389 75	12/05/2003	EXAMINER				
SCULLY SCO 400 GARDEN	OTT MURPHY & PRES	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
GARDEN CITY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2871			
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/774,92	1	SHIRAISHI, HIDEAKI				
			Examin r		Art Unit				
			Dung Ngu	yen	2871				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>15 Se</u> j	ptember 2	<u>003</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)								
	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation application from the Internation application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim ance a specific reference was included a CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign lates acknowledgment is made of a claim afterence was included in the first section.	y documents y documents s of the priorit onal Bureau on for a list of for domestic ed in the first anguage prov for domestic	have been have been by document (PCT Rule of the certification of the ce	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or olication has been received der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National (d.) (to a provisional in an Application (eived. and/or 121 since (application) Data Sheet.			
Attachment	• •			_					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(satent Application (PTO				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment dated 09/15/2003 has been received and entered.

By the amendment, claims 1-4 are now pending in the application, claims 5-11 stand withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as follow:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishino et al., US Patent No. 6010384, in view of Shishido et al., JP 9-311323.

Regarding the above claims, Nishino et al. disclose a method for manufacturing an LCD (figures 5 and 10A-10F) comprising the step of:

- forming at least two-intersection scribe cracks (e.g., ASL-1 and ASL-3) on one side of each sheet of substrate (substrates 100M and 200M);
 - adhering two sheets to each other via a seal layer (figure 10D);
 - . cutting the adhered substrate (figure 10F);

Nishino et al. do not disclose the scribe cracks forming on the inner side of each substrate of the LCD, so as the scribe cracks are facing each other via the seal layer. Shishido et al. do

Page 3

disclose a method of forming the same in which scribe cracks (11, 24) can be formed outside (figure 1) or inside surface (figure 3) of a substrate, and then adhering together via a seal layer (13), wherein scribe crack formed on sealant area (see step 301). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ intersection scribe cracks on an inner surface of each substrate as shown by Shishido et al. in order to properly cut off LCD cells without generating a breaking and an oblique crack in glass substrates (see abstract).

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishino et al., US Patent No. 6010384, in view of Shishido et al., JP 9-311323, further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (APA), figure 5A.

Regarding claim 4, although the modification to Nishino et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above, such modification does not disclosed a step of cutting the adhered substrate by irradiation of a laser. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to cut an adhered substrate by irradiating laser on a surface of such adhered substrate as shown by APA, figures, since it is a common practice in the art in order to obtain a highly appropriate cutting surface.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2871

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 11/28/2003 Dung Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871